### **Food Allergy Due to Olive**

B Wüthrich,<sup>1</sup> A Helbling<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Zollikerberg, Switzerland <sup>2</sup>Division of Allergology, University Clinic of Rheumatology, Clinical Immunology and Allergology, Inselspital, Bern, Switzerland

Key words: Olive allergy. Olive fruit. Olive oil. Olive pollinosis. Olive-olive syndrome

Palabras clave: Alergia a olivo. Aceituna. Aceite de oliva. Síndrome olivo-aceituna

Recently, Ünsel et al (Medical School of Bornova-Izmir, Turkey) reported in this Journal the case of a 28-year-old man with pollinosis due to olive. The patient complained of palatal itching (oral allergy syndrome) and generalized urticaria following ingestion of olive fruit, but denied having experienced any symptoms associated with other fruits, nuts, or legumes [1]. Prick test and nasal provocation with an olive pollen extract and a prick-to-prick test with fresh olive fruit were positive, and specific immunoglobulin (Ig) E to olive pollen and olive fruit were detectable. An open provocation with olive oil, however, was negative. With reference to the proposed new pollen-food (olive-olive) syndrome, the authors stated that only 1 report of an olive fruit allergy had been published in the literature [2]. Regrettably, the authors were not aware of the publication of Helbling et al (1998, in German) in which the authors presented a unique case of food allergy to olive fruit (Olea europaea) and olive oil [3]. A 25-year-old Swiss woman with pollinosis due to grass pollen (June and July) but no symptoms of oral allergy syndrome experienced several severe anaphylactic reactions after meals containing fresh olive fruit or prepared with olive oil (eg, salads, pizzas, meats). The patient was sensitized to grass, mugwort, and tree pollens (including ash), and prick-to-prick tests were strongly positive (+++) to fresh olive, extra virgin olive oil, and salad oil. Specific IgE determination revealed sensitization to olive and ash pollen (both trees belong to the same botanical family and their allergens are cross-reactive) and rBet v 2 (CAP class 3 each), but not to rBet v 1. Based on these findings and the patient's history (no allergic symptoms to other foods), these authors assumed that even though sensitization to olive fruit may have occurred by inhalation of an aeroallergen, causal cross-reactivity between ash or olive pollen and an olive fruit allergen was very unlikely. This conclusion seems reasonable, because respiratory allergy to olive pollen is very widespread in the Mediterranean area, and olives are a common food in this region [2]. Thus, this kind of food allergy, generally known as olive pollen and fruit syndrome, must have been much more prevalent than thought in Southern Europe, if cross-reactive antibodies between olive pollen and olive fruit are causal. Ten years ago, a more precise diagnosis was not feasible, since recombinant allergens from olive pollen were not available. Ole e 7 would be of particular interest, since lipid transfer proteins have been associated with anaphylaxis after ingestion of foods [4].

#### References

- Ünsel M, Ardeniz Ö, Mete N, Ersoy R, Sin AUZ, Gulbahar O, Kokuludag A. Food allergy to olive. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2009;19:497-9.
- 2. Azofra J. Olive allergy. Allergy. 2004;59:559.
- Helbling A, Yawalkar N, Rohrer C, Brander KA. [Hypersensitivity to olive: rare or clue for a unique allergen?] (Original in German). Allergologie. 1998;21:69-72.
- Florido Lopez IF, Quiralte Enriquez J, Arias de Saavedra Alias JM, Saenz de San Pedro B., Martin Casanez E. An allergen from Olea europea pollen (Ole e 7) is associated with plant-derived food anaphylaxis. Allergy. 2002;57:53-9.

■ Manuscript received January 18, 2010; accepted for publication March 22, 2010.

Brunello Wüthrich, MD Prof. Emeritus of Allergology, Clinical Immunology and Dermatology Im Ahorn 18 CH-8125 Zollikerberg, Switzerland E-mail: wuethric@derm.uzh.ch bs.wuethrich@sbluewin.ch

# Reply

M Ünsel, Ö Ardeniz, N Mete, R Ersoy, AZ Sin, O Gulbahar, A Kokuludag

Division of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Department of Internal Medicine, Ege University Medical School, Bornova-Izmir, Turkey

Key words: Olive pollinosis. Olive allergy. Pollen-food syndrome.

Palabras clave: Polinosis por olivo. Alergia a olivo. Síndrome polen-alimentos.

During the preparation of this manuscript we used English key words in our search of the literature. As a result, we did not find the report written by Helbling et al and could not mention it in the Discussion.

We thank Dr Wüthrich for his favorable comments on our observations and his contribution to the literature.

Manuscript received May 4, 2010; accepted for publication May 19, 2010.

Mehmet Ünsel, MD E-mail: unselmehmet@yahoo.com

### **ERRATUM**

## Leukotriene B4 and 8-Isoprostane in Exhaled Breath Condensate of Children With Episodic and Persistent Asthma

S Caballero Balanzá, A Martorell Aragonés, JC Cerdá Mir, JB Ramírez, R Navarro Iváñez, A Navarro Soriano, R Félix Toledo, A Escribano Montaner

J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2009; Vol. 20, issue 3

This study was supported by a grant from the Spanish Society of Allergology and Clinical Immunology Foundation

## **ERRATUM**

#### Clinical Correlates and Determinants of Airway Inflammation in Pediatric Asthma

A Cano-Garcinuño, I Carvajal-Urueña, CA Díaz-Vázquez, B Domínguez-Aurrecoechea, Á García-Merino, P Mola-Caballero de Rodas, I Mora-Gandarillas

J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2010; Vol. 20, issue 4

In tables 2, 3, and 4 of the above article, some of the data were displaced. The tables presented below show the results as originally intended.

	FE <sub>NO</sub> , ppb								
Current Manifestations		Without IC T	2)	With IC Treatment (n=67)					
(previous 4 weeks)	%	Median	IQR	Р	%	Median	IQR	Р	
Symptoms									
Any symptom Y	'es 73.8	48.0	24.5-65.0	.150 <sup>a</sup>	81.8	27.0	15.4-61.8	.265ª	
	No 26.2	28.0	15.5-58.8		18.2	25.5	10.6-42.0		
Dyspnea Y	'es 34.1	52.5	18.8-66.5	.425ª	34.3	37.5	12.0-72.0	.164ª	
	No 65.9	35.0	20.4-61.4		65.7	24.0	15.6-44.1		
Wheeze Y	'es 36.6	54.8	29.4-65.0	.039"	35.8	22.5	14.3-62.0	.596"	
1	No 63.4	33.0	17.3-59.6		64.2	27.5	15.5-51.0		
Cough Y	'es 65.9	48.5	24.5-65.5	.049°	74.6	27.0	15.9-61.8	.338	
1	No 34.1	26.3	13.6-56.6		25.4	27.5	10.8-45.0		
Chest tightness Y	'es 11.6	44.5	19.6-59.8	.851°	9.8	16.3	8.1-41.5	.175ª	
1	No 88.4	44.0	22.3-65.5		90.2	27.5	15.0-53.0		
Frequency of daytime symptoms				.380°				.083°	
Never	37.8	33.8	17.1-62.8		25.8	23.5	12.5-39.5		
Sometimes	25.6	41.8	18.9-51.1		28.8	49.0	18.5-68.5		
≤ 2/wk	17.1	57.0	31.5-83.0		28.8	26.5	14.5-61.0		
≥ 3/w k	13.4	58.0	24.5-65.0		16.7	17.0	9.5-32.5		
Daily	6.1	32.5	24.5-91.8		0.0				
Frequency of nighttime symptoms				.211°				.606 <sup>°</sup>	
Never	75.0	44.0	19.6-66.5		62.1	27.5	15.0-56.3		
< 1/wk	17.5	52.0	33.0-63.9		18.2	32.0	13.5-54.4		
≥ 1/wk	7.5	26.8	16.0-38.1		19.7	21.0	12.0-51.0		
Frequency of β <sub>2</sub> -adrenergic agonists				.313 <sup>b</sup>				.159 <sup>b</sup>	
Never	46.3	32.5	17.5-61.0		36.4	25.5	13.8-40.9		
Sometimes	26.3	51.5	28.8-88.8		18.2	43.5	21.0-64.0		
1/wk	10.0	50.8	38.6-60.0		10.6	49.0	23.0-68.5		
≥ 2/wk	17.5	42.3	28.9-62.5		34.8	20.0	9.5-56.0		
Asthma crisis Y	'es 25.0	53.3	23.0-64.3	.397ª	34.3	21.0	12.0-56.0	.240ª	
1	No 75.0	35.5	19.6-59.9		65.7	32.0	16.1-51.4		
Physical examination									
Wheeze Y	'es 11.0	51.5	22.0-73.3	.543°	9.0	52.5	33.1-64.0	.113°	
1	Vo 89.0	39.5	19.5-62.3		81.0	24.5	14.3-50.3		
Asthma control (GINA classification)				.430°				.454 <sup>°</sup>	
Controlled	42.7	44.0	23.0-75.0		19.4	27.5	13.8-39.5		
Partly controlled	37.8	33.0	18.0-58.0		53.7	25.0	15.3-50.6		
Uncontrolled	19.5	42.3	25.6-67.0		26.9	37.3	15.8-65.0		

Table 2. Relationship Between  $FE_{NO}$  and Clinical Expression of Asthma in Patients With and Without Inhaled Corticosteroid Treatment

Table 3. Relationship Between $FE_{NO}$ and Burden of Asthma in Pa	tients With and
Without Inhaled Corticosteroid Treatment	

Current Manifestations (Previous 4 Weeks)		FE <sub>NO</sub> , ppb								
		Without IC Treatment (n=82)					With IC Treatment (n=67)			
		%	Median	IQR	Р	%	Median	IQR	Р	
Limitation of daily activities					.840 <sup>a</sup>				.050 <sup>a</sup>	
Never		65.9	39.8	17.8-67.3		59.7	23.3	15.1-41.9		
Sometimes		22.0	37.5	23.3-57.0		25.4	51.5	23.8-70.3		
Frequently		12.2	54.5	19.8-62.5		14.9	17.0	9.3-69.4		
Absenteeism, school					.502 <sup>a</sup>				.218ª	
Never		90.2	45.8	19.4-65.5		82.1	27.5	15.5-51.0		
Sometimes		8.5	33.0	32.5-44.0		14.9	21.5	14.1-69.0		
Frequently		1.2	c	c		3.0	10.8	9.5 - °		
Absenteeism, work					.504 <sup>a</sup>				.946ª	
Never		95.1	44.0	20.4-64.2		93.9	27.0	15.4-49.9		
Sometimes		3.7	33.0	18.5 - <sup>b</sup>		6.1	38.0	11.3-67.0		
Frequently		1.2	ь	ь		0.0	b	ь		
Nonscheduled asthma visits	Yes	7.3	61.3	30.9-91.5	.130 ª	9.0	14.0	9.3-36.9	.119°	
	No	92.7	37.5	19.1-60.5		91.0	27.5	16.3-52.3		
Hospitalization	Yes	0.0	b	ь	ь	3.0	10.8	9.5 - <sup>b</sup>	.083°	
	No	100.0	41.8	19.9-62.5		97.0	27.5	15.8-52.3		

Abbreviations: FE<sub>NO</sub>, fractional exhaled nitric oxide concentration; GINA, Global Initiative on Asthma; IC, inhaled corticosteroid; IQR, interquartile range; ppb, parts per billion. <sup>a</sup> Kruskal-Wallis test. <sup>b</sup> Insufficient number of patients in the stratum. <sup>c</sup> Mann-Whitney test.

Abbreviations: FE<sub>NO</sub>, fractional exhaled nitric oxide concentration; GINA, Global Initiative on Asthma; IC, inhaled corticosteroid; IQR, interquartile range; ppb, parts per billion. <sup>a</sup> Man-Whitney test.

Table 4. Relationship Between FE NO and Lung Function in Patients With and Without Inhaled Corticosteroid Treatment

		FE <sub>NO</sub> , ppb									
		Without IC Treatment (n = 82)				W	With IC Treatment (n = 67)				
		%	Median	IQR	$P^{a}$	%	Median	IQR	$P^{a}$		
Pre-BD lung function											
Low FEV1 (< 80%)	Yes	13.4	28.0	18.0-62.0	.337	9.1	28.5	19.5-64.0	.803		
	No	86.6	44.0	20.5-64.0		90.9	27.5	14.6-51.4			
Low FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC (< 75%)	Yes	7.3	49.3	26.3-88.0	.413	12.1	64.0	42.1-74.0	.019		
	No	92.7	41.8	19.6-60.5		87.9	24.0	14.4-46.4			
Low FEF <sub>25-75</sub> (< 65%)	Yes	15.0	44.0	21.1-65.4	.808	20.0	56.0	23.8-70.8	.027		
	No	85.0	41.8	19.6-63.5		80.0	25.0	14.1-47.9			
Post-BD lung function											
Positive BD test <sup>b</sup>	Yes	15.0	53.0	29.9-80.4	.236	16.9	44.5	20.0-64.0	.217		
	No	85.0	37.5	19.6-58.4		83.1	25.5	13.9-49.9			
Low FEV <sub>1</sub> (< 80%)	Yes	5.0	19.0	15.8-44.0	.160	7.7	26.5	16.0-50.8	.952		
	No	95.0	44.0	21.1-63.5		92.3	27.5	14.6-51.4			
Low FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC (< 75%)	Yes	2.5	55.0	51.5 - °	.155	6.3	66.8	44.1-180.5	.020		
	No	97.5	37.5	19.9-62.5		93.7	25.5	14.6-49.4			
Low FEF <sub>25-75</sub> (< 65%)	Yes	2.6	55.0	51.5 - °	.450	12.7	46.8	11.0-68.1	.577		
	No	97.4	37.5	19.6-63.5		87.3	27.5	16.0-49.5			

Abbreviations: BD, bronchodilator; FE<sub>NO</sub>, fractional exhaled nitric oxide concentration; FEF<sub>2575</sub>, forced expiratory flow, midexpiratory phase; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; [C, inhaled corticosteroid; IQR, interquartile range; ppb, parts per billion. <sup>a</sup> Mann-Whitney test. <sup>b</sup> Change in FEV\_\$12% after BD. <sup>c</sup> Insufficient number of patients in the stratum.